



COMPARISON OF NATURAL FREQUENCIES OF LAMINATES BY 3-D THEORY, PART I: RECTANGULAR PLATES

С. С. Снао

Department of Power Mechanical Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.

AND

YEONG-CHYUAN CHERN

Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Chin Yi Institute of Technology, Taichung, Taiwan 411, R.O.C.

(Received 19 August 1998, and in final form 11 June 1999)

The three-dimensional theory of laminated plates and shells has been developed by Chao *et al.* [10–13, 62, 63] with many applications to impact and shock modal analyses. In this research, a complete survey of the literature is made on the free vibration natural frequencies of simply supported rectangular plates. Various boundary conditions are composed of fixed pin, hinge-roller, and sliding pin supported edges. The lowest frequencies are obtained in the present study in comparison with those in earlier studies as a result of the close natural state reached in keeping with the three-dimensional boundary and interlaminar continuity conditions via a 3-D augmented energy variational approach.

© 2000 Academic Press

1. INTRODUCTION

The mathematical theory of elasticity and vibration problems in engineering were comprehensively discussed by Love [1], and Timoshenko [2], respectively, in the 1920s. It was noted that assumptions of the classical thin plate theory overestimated the structural stiffness, and hence the natural frequencies. Reissner [3], and Mindlin and Medick [4] considered the effect of transverse shear on the bending of isotropic elastic plates, leading to the development of the first order, and higher order shear deformation theories.

Since the advent of composites featuring high stiffness, high strength and light weight, vibration of anisotropic laminated plates has drawn the attention of many researchers. Exact solutions for bending, vibration and buckling of simply supported thick orthotropic and cross-ply laminated rectangular plates were obtained by Srinivas *et al.* [5], and Srinivas and Rao [6] in 1970. A three-dimensional solution was found by Noor and Burton [7] for the

antisymmetrically laminated anisotropic plates. In view of ever increasing application to general laminated structures in engineering, theories and a number of numerical solution methods have been developed for the first order approximation in preliminary design. Assessments of computational models for multi-layered anisotropic, and sandwich plates were published by Noor and Burton [8], and Noor *et al.* [9] respectively.

Three-dimensional semianalytical solutions have been developed by Chao *et al.* on the basis of local 3-D stress equilibrium with many applications to impact and shock modal analysis of laminated plates and curved panels [10–13, 62, 63]. A complete survey of the literature on engineering vibration analysis of laminated plates is presented in Table 1. The studies are classified as theory, material property and numerical methods.

Basic theories of plates and shells can be found in four categories, i.e., (i) classical thin plate theory known as CPT, (ii) first order shear deformation theory known as FSDT, (iii) higher order shear deformation theory known as HSDT, and (iv) theory of three-dimensional elasticity.

In the classical plate theory [1, 2, 14–20, 61], the transverse shear effects are neglected according to the Kirhhoff assumption, and the structural stiffness and natural frequencies are overestimated.

It was Reissner [3], who first considered the effect of transverse shear on the bending of elastic plates, that led to the development of the first order shear deformation theory FSDT. However, the effects of cross-sectional warping is ignored resulting in an unrealistic linear variation of the transverse shear stress

Theory		Material property				
CPT : 1-2, 14-20, 61 FSDT : 3, 21-30 HSDT : 4, 31-52 2 D : 5, 12, 52, 60, 67	2 62	Isotropic : 1–4, 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 26, 27, 29, 32, 41–43, 52, 58–60 Composite : 5–13, 15, 17, 18, 21–26, 28–63 Sondwich : 0, 26, 40, 55				
Numerical methods	2, 03	Sandwich . 9, 50, 40, 55				
Differential quadrature Finite difference Finite element Finite layer Finite strip Galerkin technique Hamilton principle Newton-Raphson Rayleigh-Ritz	: 18, 30 : 53 : 22-24, 26, 31 : 58 : 27-29, 47 : 21, 25, 34, 42 : 3, 4, 10-13, 3 : 5, 6 : 2, 14, 15-17,	, 33, 36–41, 45, 46, 50–52, 54–56, 59, 60 2, 57 31, 32, 35, 43, 44, 48, 49, 62, 63 19, 20, 59				
Assessment	: 8, 9					

 TABLE 1

 Classification of references

through thickness of the laminate, and the use of shear correction coefficients is required [3, 21–30].

The higher order shear deformation theory HSDT was mainly based on a two-dimensional approach by incorporating higher order modes of transverse cross-sectional deformation [4, 31–52]. It began with the work of Mindlin and Medick [4] for isotropic plates. A more reasonable parabolic variation of transverse shear stress/strain through thickness can be obtained with no need for the assumed shear correction coefficients. The major drawback of the conventional HSDT lies in that it is unable to satisfy the interlaminar continuity from layer to layer and stress equilibrium over the lateral surfaces without regard to the transverse normal stress, which is of special importance in treating the contact and impact problems. Recent development has led to a three-dimensional model in which the six stress/strain components are fully obtainable throughout the laminated plate.

Recently, thick laminate construction has stimulated the interest in use of three-dimensional theory for predictions of structural response and stresses. The 3-D theories [5–13, 53–60, 62, 63] include 3-D exact analysis, 3-D finite element method, 3-D finite layer method, 3-D layerwise theory, and the 3-D elasticity theory. The engineering vibration problem has rarely been solvable in exact form of 3-D elasticity for laminated plates and shells, except for a few special cases such as cross-ply by Srinivas *et al.* [5, 6], and antisymmetrical angle-ply by Noor and Burton [7, 8]. The present study is devoted to the more general case for three-dimensional analysis.

In this research, a thorough analysis and survey of moderately thick or thin plates made up of symmetric or antisymmetric, cross-ply or angle-ply lay-ups is carried out in accordance with the three-dimensional elasticity theory in comparison with earlier studies. Lowest natural frequencies are obtained by taking the three-dimensional boundary and interlaminar continuity conditions into account as the physical requirements of natural state as shown in equations (1)-(5). To facilitate the comparison, several types of plate materials are treated in the present study. The isotropic/metallic plates are discussed first with different length to thickness ratios and in-plane aspect ratios. The rest are concerned with anisotropic laminated composite plates consisting of high strength/modulus aragonite or glass, carbon, boron reinforcing fibers embedded in high-performance matrix. In view of the numerous publications in this field, discussions are confined to simply supported plates due to the limited scope of this paper.

2. THEORETICAL FORMULATION

Consider a K layered plate of in-plane dimensions a, b and thickness h with simple supports. In the treatment of the various problems of interest, it may pertain to any one of the following three types of boundary conditions, in which local stresses and displacements are concerned rather than the global stress resultants and stress couples in the conventional plate theories.



Figure 1. Schematic of a laminated plate with simple supports.

2.1. THREE-DIMENSIONAL BOUNDARY AND INTERLAMINAR CONDITIONS

The conventional edge boundary conditions are modified in the essence of three-dimensional elasticity in terms of local displacements and stresses for the various support configurations for the 3-D boundary conditions as shown in equations (1)-(4). In the present study of free vibration, the entire laminated plate is considered surface traction free over both lateral surfaces. Both the natural and geometrical edge conditions are justified by admissible displacement functions exactly everywhere over all four edges for cross-ply laminations, while specified geometric edge conditions are justified for angle-ply and other laminations. Three types of simply supported edge boundary conditions are treated.

Lateral surface traction free conditions:

$$z = 0; \quad \mathscr{F}_{1}^{(0)} = \sigma_{xz} = 0, \qquad \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(0)} = \sigma_{yz} = 0, \qquad \mathscr{F}_{3}^{(0)} = \sigma_{zz} = 0,$$

$$z = h; \quad \mathscr{F}_{1}^{(K)} = \sigma_{xz} = 0, \qquad \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(K)} = \sigma_{yz} = 0, \qquad \mathscr{F}_{3}^{(K)} = \sigma_{zz} = 0.$$
(1)

 S_1 fixed pin supported edges:

x = 0, a:	z=0,	$\sigma_{xx} = u = v = w = 0,$	$z \neq 0$,	$\sigma_{xx} = v = w = 0,$
y = 0, b:	z = 0,	$\sigma_{yy} = u = v = w = 0,$	$z \neq 0$,	$\sigma_{yy} = u = w = 0. (2)$

 S_2 hinge-roller supported edges:

$$x = 0, a$$
: $\sigma_{xx} = v = w = 0, \quad y = 0, b$: $\sigma_{yy} = u = w = 0.$ (3)

 S_3 sliding pin supported edges:

$$z = 0, \quad x = 0, a; \quad \sigma_{xy} = u = w = 0, \qquad y = 0, b; \quad \sigma_{yx} = v = w = 0.$$
 (4)

The surface conditions are labelled as $\mathscr{F}_i^{(0)}$ and $\mathscr{F}_i^{(K)}$ for transverse normal and shear stresses free at the bottom and top surfaces respectively. Pasternak or Winkler mode elastic foundation may be incorporated into the surface condition if required.

Interlaminar continuity: Since individual displacement fields are assumed for each layer of the laminate, interlaminar continuity of layer displacements in addition to transverse stresses must be satisfied at each interface between adjacent layers.

$$\mathcal{F}_{1}^{(k)} = \sigma_{xz}^{(k)^{+}} - \sigma_{xz}^{(k+1)^{-}} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{4}^{(k)} = u^{(k)^{+}} - u^{(k+1)^{-}} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2}^{(k)} = \sigma_{yz}^{(k)^{+}} - \sigma_{yz}^{(k+1)^{-}} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{5}^{(k)} = v^{(k)^{+}} - v^{(k+1)^{-}} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{3}^{(k)} = \sigma_{zz}^{(k)^{+}} - \sigma_{zz}^{(k+1)^{-}} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{6}^{(k)} = w^{(k)^{+}} - w^{(k+1)^{-}} = 0,$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, K - 1 \quad (5)$$

where, for simplicity, the interlaminar conditions are denoted as $\mathscr{F}_i^{(k)}$ with subscripts 1, 2, 3 for the transverse stresses $\sigma_{xz}, \sigma_{yz}, \sigma_{zz}$ and 4, 5, 6 for layer displacements u, v, w. The superscripts + and – denote the upper and lower surfaces of the respective layers. Layers are numbered from the bottom upwards.

2.2. THREE-DIMENSIONAL DISPLACEMENT FIELDS

Three-dimensional displacement fields are assumed according to the various edge boundary conditions as above for each layer in terms of double Fourier series of x, y for the in-plane co-ordinates and polynomials in z to proper higher orders for the out-of-plane co-ordinate, i.e.,

$$u^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{j,m,n} [U_{jmn}Z_{j}(z)U_{m}(x)U_{n}(y)]^{k},$$

$$v^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{j,m,n} [V_{jmn}Z_{j}(z)V_{m}(x)V_{n}(y)]^{k},$$

$$w^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{j,m,n} [W_{jmn}Z_{j}(z)W_{m}(x)W_{n}(y)]^{k}.$$
(6)

 S_1 fixed pin displacement field:

$$u^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{1}^{J} \sum_{0}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} U_{jmn} z^{j} \cos x_{m} \sin y_{n},$$

$$v^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{1}^{J} \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{0}^{N} V_{jmn} z^{j} \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n},$$

$$w^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{0}^{J} \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} W_{jmn} z^{j} \sin x_{m} \sin y_{n},$$
(7)

where $x_m = m\pi x/a$, $y_n = n\pi y/b$. S₂ hinge-roller displacement field:

$$u^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{0}^{J} \sum_{0}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} U_{jmn} z^{j} \cos x_{m} \sin y_{n},$$

$$v^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{0}^{J} \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{0}^{N} V_{jmn} z^{j} \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n},$$

$$w^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{0}^{J} \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} W_{jmn} z^{j} \sin x_{m} \sin y_{n}.$$
(8)

S₃ sliding pin displacement field:

$$u^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{0}^{N} U_{0mn} \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n} + \sum_{1}^{J} \sum_{0}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} U_{jmn} z^{j} \cos x_{m} \sin y_{n},$$

$$v^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{0}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} V_{0mn} \cos x_{m} \sin y_{n} + \sum_{1}^{J} \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{0}^{N} V_{jmn} z^{j} \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n},$$

$$w^{k}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{0}^{J} \sum_{1}^{M} \sum_{1}^{N} W_{jmn} z^{j} \sin x_{m} \sin y_{n}.$$
(9)

2.3. THREE-DIMENSIONAL ENERGY VARIATIONAL APPROACH

Strain components in a layer: In accordance with the three-dimensional consistent higher order theory of plates and shells [7-10], the small strains are expressed in terms of the displacements of the *k*th layer.

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \qquad \varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \qquad \varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z},$$
$$\gamma_{yz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}, \qquad \gamma_{xz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \qquad \gamma_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$
(10)

Stress components in a layer: The three-dimensional stresses in the plates are obtained using the anisotropic constitutive law of composites for any layer. The 3-D mechanical properties must be known to perform the three-dimensional elasticity analysis. Since most of the numerical examples in the literature are incomplete in 3-D properties, the transverse the Poisson ratio v_{23}^p can be calculated from equation (13) in reference to Philippidis [61] and the transverse shear modulus is obtained as $G_{23} = E_2/[2(1 + v_{23}^p)]$ in the y-z plane.

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{yz} \\ \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{xy} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{C}_{11} & \bar{C}_{12} & \bar{C}_{13} & 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_{16} \\ \bar{C}_{12} & \bar{C}_{22} & \bar{C}_{23} & 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_{26} \\ \bar{C}_{13} & \bar{C}_{23} & \bar{C}_{33} & 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_{36} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_{44} & \bar{C}_{45} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_{45} & \bar{C}_{55} & 0 \\ \bar{C}_{16} & \bar{C}_{26} & \bar{C}_{36} & 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{$$

Energy formulation: The generalized equations of motion are derived by means of the strain energy, kinetic energy, and work done by non-conservative forces via a three-dimensional augmented energy variational approach subject to the surface conditions and interlaminar continuity by using Lagrange multipliers.

$$V = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{v_k} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \right\}_k dx dy dz, \quad i, j = x, y, z,$$
$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{v_k} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rho(u_{,t}^2 + v_{,t}^2 + w_{,t}^2) \right\}_k dx dy dz,$$

$$SC = \sum_{k=0,K} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{S_{k}} \{\lambda_{i}^{(k)} \mathscr{F}_{i}^{(k)}\} dx dy,$$

$$IC = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \int_{S_{k}} \{\lambda_{i}^{(k)} \mathscr{F}_{i}^{(k)}\} dx dy,$$

$$\Pi = V - T - W_{nc} + SC + IC.$$
(12)

Lagrange multipliers: The Lagrange multipliers are assumed according to the various corresponding stress and displacement field functions. Using the S_1 and S_2 models, the six types of Lagrange multipliers are expanded in Fourier series as follows:

$$\lambda_{1} = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{xz,mn} \sigma_{xz}(x_{m}) \sigma_{xz}(y_{n}) = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{xz,mn} (\cos x_{m} \sin y_{n} + \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n}),$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{yz,mn} \sigma_{yz}(x_{m}) \sigma_{yz}(y_{n}) = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{yz,mn} (\cos x_{m} \sin y_{n} + \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n}),$$

$$\lambda_{3} = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{zz,mn} \sigma_{zz}(x_{m}) \sigma_{zz}(y_{n}) = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{zz,mn} (\cos x_{m} \cos y_{n} + \sin x_{m} \sin y_{n}),$$

$$\lambda_{4} = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{u,mn} \cos x_{m} \sin y_{n}, \quad \lambda_{5} = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{v,mn} \sin x_{m} \cos y_{n},$$

$$\lambda_{6} = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda_{w,mn} \sin x_{m} \sin y_{n}.$$
(13)

Modified Lagrange's equations: The three-dimensional displacements can be partitioned into the lower and higher order parts denoted by vectors U_{ℓ} and U_h , namely,

$$\{U\}^{T} = \{U_{\ell} | U_{h}\}^{T}$$

$$\{U_{\ell}\}^{T} = \{U_{jmn}, V_{jmn}, W_{jmn}\}^{T}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., J - 2,$$

$$\{U_{h}\}^{T} = \{U_{jmn}, V_{jmn}, W_{jmn}\}^{T}, \quad j = J - 1, J.$$
 (14)

Using the lateral surface and interlaminar constraint conditions as above, the six degrees of freedom of the higher order part can be eliminated in each layer for each Fourier series component. A system of modified Lagrange's equations of motion is obtained via energy variation with respect to the generalized displacements and Lagrange multipliers.

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \lambda_i} = 0 \Rightarrow [L_{\lambda}^h] \{ U_h \} = - [L_{\lambda}^l] \{ U_\ell \},$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial U_i} = 0 \Rightarrow [M] \{ \ddot{U} \} + [K] \{ U \} + [L_{\lambda}^T] \{ \Lambda] = \{ P \},$$
(15)

where $[L_{\lambda}]$ is a matrix representing the surface and interlaminar continuity relationship with $[L_{\lambda}^{\ell}]$ and $[L_{\lambda}^{h}]$ as submatrices through partition. [M] and [K] are the mass and stiffness matrices of the system, which can be converted to reduced forms by use of the lower order displacements alone. $\{P\}$ is the equivalent external forcing term and will vanish to zero vector in free vibration.

Assuming simple harmonic motion, the following eigenvalue problem is derived:

$$[\bar{K}] \{ U_{\ell} \} = \omega^2 [\bar{M}] \{ U_{\ell} \}, \tag{16}$$

where ω is the natural frequency of the free vibration.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

By use of the present three-dimensional theory, a general survey is made on free vibration of the various simply supported rectangular plates. Numerical results are tabulated in comparison with the literature in the order of isotropic plates, cross-ply, angle-ply and quasi-isotropic hybrid laminated composites. Different displacement fields are used for different boundary conditions as the case applies. Table 2 shows classification of the 3-D boundary conditions, to which each of the references in the literature survey and tables in the present study pertains. Basically, the concepts of constant or averaged transverse shear for the FSDT, and parabolic transverse shear distribution for the HSDT are inconsistent with real physics. These theories are unable to account for the three-dimensional boundary conditions of no lateral surface traction in free vibration, and interface continuity of displacements and transverse stresses as per Newton's third law. The present three-dimensional elasticity theory of laminated plates is rigorous in that all of these conditions are taken into consideration by leaving the higher order displacement coefficients to be determined through an energy variational approach in pursuit of a natural state for minimum total potential energy. As a result, natural frequencies obtained

	References in li	terature survey	Present
Class	Boundary condition	Displacement fields	Table nos.
S ₁	18, 23, 24, 59		5, 10, 12
S ₂	5, 6, 9–13, 18, 23–25, 28, 30–37, 39, 40, 42–44, 48, 49, 51, 52, 57–60	5, 6, 9–13, 25, 28, 30–32, 34, 35, 37, 39, 42–44, 48, 49, 53, 57, 58	3-9,11-13
S ₃	7, 8, 18, 21–23, 32, 33, 40, 49, 50, 52	7, 8, 21, 32, 49	5, 7, 9, 11–16
Unk.	1-4, 14-17, 19, 20, 26, 27, 29, 38, 41, 45-47, 53-56	1-4, 14-20, 22-24, 26, 27, 29, 33, 36, 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 50-52, 54-56, 59, 60	

TABLE 2

Classification	of	houndary	conditions	and	displaceme	ont t	fiolds
Classification	OI	bounaar v	conations	ana	aispiaceme	'nı ı	ieias

in the present study are the lowest among all results in the literature. Only a few exceptions are encountered, in which, an * mark will be noted with an explanation.

3.1. CONVERGENCE AND ACCURACY

At first, convergence studies were carried out for the isotropic, and cross-ply, and angle-ply antisymmetric and symmetric laminated plates. Accuracy was also verified by checking with Srinivas' exact solutions in close agreement in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3 shows the normalized fundamental frequencies for thin and thick square plates with the present S_2 displacement fields by changing the order of the polynomial function $Z_i(z)$. In the higher order shear deformation theory, the z^j usually varies from order 2 to 5. The thicker the plate, the higher is the order of the transverse co-ordinate term z^{j} required. In the present theory, polynomials to order 3 were employed for laminates of moderate thickness, and order 4 for thick plates where $h/a \ge 0.1$. The first part shows the present fundamental frequencies with fast convergence and accurate results as compared to those in earlier studies. Srinivas, Joga Rao and Rao's vibration analysis of isotropic plate was an exact elasticity solution [5]. Leissa [14] reconsidered the problem with the classical thin plate theory. Farsa et al. [18] conducted the vibration studies of laminated rectangular plates by the differential quadrature method. Noor [53] solved the free vibration problem using the 3-D elasticity theory with higher order finite difference schemes. Criterion for convergence on the Fourier series part is whether the assumed functions has attained an adequate set of the series. The second part of Table 3 shows the necessary condition that each frequency tends to a certain limit about the cross-ply laminate in steady, and increasingly smaller changes as the values for m and n are gradually increased. Results of the present S₂ symmetric cross-ply and angle-ply thin plates at a/h = 20 are always lower as compared with those of Bowlus et al. [25], in which an FSDT-based Galerkin technique was used for determining the natural frequencies and mode shapes.

3.2. ISOTROPIC PLATES

Table 4 shows the normalized frequencies of moderately thick isotropic square plates with v = 0.3 at a/h = 10 in comparison with Srinivas *et al.* [5], Reddy [22], Huang and Dasgupta [59], Meimaris and Day [60], and Shankara and Iyengar [52]. The present results are in good agreement with the exact solution of Srinivas *et al.* In reference [52], a C° finite element model based on HSDT was used without considering C¹ continuity of the inter-element slope, and a high-low fluctuation in their frequencies was indicated by an *.

The first eight frequencies $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/D)^{1/2}$ of isotropic (v = 0.3) rectangular and square plates are compared in Table 5. Firstly, in consideration of varying aspect ratios, frequencies of the present S₁ and S₂ thin (a/h = 20) rectangular plates are the lowest when compared to those of Leissa [14], Liew *et al.* [16], Zhou [19],

C. C. CHAO AND Y.-C. CHERN

TABLE 3

	F	Fundame	ntal frec	I. by chan	ging order o	f polyno	omial	z^j	
Plates	S_2		Isotrop	ic	$[0/90\cdots]_6^i$	[0/902/	$[0]_{S}^{iii}$	[45/-	-45] ⁱⁱ
Present m = n = 8	a/h j 2 3 4 5	10 Ω 9·49 9·31 9·31 9·31	37 53 50 50	$ \begin{array}{r} 1000 \\ \Omega_b \\ 19.7391 \\ 19.7389 \\ 19.7389 \\ 19.7389 \\ 19.7389 \\ 19.7389 \\ \end{array} $	$5 \\ \Omega_c \\ 3.3499 \\ 3.3495 \\ 3.3495 \\ 3.3495 \\ 3.3495 \\ 3.3495 $	283 ω, Η 59·75 59·73 59·73 59·73	3 Iz 70 70 70 70	$5 \\ \Omega_d \\ 8.6138 \\ 8.2932 \\ 8.2873 \\ 8.2872$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ \Omega_d \\ 14{\cdot}6109 \\ 14{\cdot}6043 \\ 14{\cdot}6041 \\ 14{\cdot}6041 \end{array}$
Reference Reference Reference Reference	[5] [14] [53] [18]	9·31 	50	19·7392 	3·4250	59.75	00		
	F	irst few 1	nodes b	y changin	g terms of F	ourier s	eries,	Ω_d	
a/h = 20	m, n	$arOmega_1$	S	$\begin{array}{c} \Omega_3_2 (j=3) \end{array}$	Ω_5	Ω_1	Refe	Ω_3 erence [25]	Ω_5
$[0/90]_S^{ii}$	2,2 4,4 6,6 8,8	11·7 11·7 11·7 11·7	49 49 49 49 49 S	36.716 36.716 36.716 36.716 2 (j = 3)	42·488 42·488 42·488	11.7 11.7 11.7 11.7	58 58 58 58 58 Refe	36.866 36.866 36.866 36.866 erence [25]	42·573 42·573 42·573 42·573
[45/-45] ^{<i>ii</i>} _{<i>S</i>}	2,2 4,4 6,6 8,8	14·6 14·3 14·1 14·0	19 20 77 87	36·075 34·849 34·594 34·450	55·788 55·051 54·825	14·69 14·4 14·23 14·20	99 18 83 05	36·164 35·444 34·734 34·613	57·883 55·082 54·856
			Mater	ial proper	ty and notat	ions			
Material		$\frac{E_1}{E_2}$	$\frac{G_{12}}{E_2}$	$\frac{G_{23}}{E_2}$	v ₁₂	<i>v</i> ₂₃	Free	luency	
Isotropic Composite i ii iii		1 10 15 11·48	0.6 0.429 0.278	0·50 0·343 0·27	0·3 0·3 0·25 0·40 0·28	0·3 0·3 0·25 0·456 0·28	$\Omega_a = \Omega_b = \Omega_c = \Omega_c = \Omega_d = \omega$ in	$= 100\omega(\rho_A h/)$ $= \omega a^2(\rho_A/D)$ $= 10\omega h(\rho_m/)$ $= \omega a^2(\rho_m/E)$ $= Hertz$	$(G)^{1/2}$ $)^{1/2}$ $(E_2)^{1/2}$ $(E_2h^2)^{1/2}$

Convergence and accuracy of normalized frequencies Ω for square plates

For material *iii*: a = b = 12 in, $E_2 = 2.7$ Mpsi, $\rho = 1.92 \times 10^{-4}$ lb s² in⁻⁴.

Geannakakes [20], and Cheung and Kong [29]. Secondly, in considering varying length to thickness ratios, the frequencies of the present S_3 square plates also compare well with those of Chen and Yang [26] and Mizusawa [27]. Specific displacement fields were used as required by the boundary conditions.

<i>m</i> , <i>n</i>	1,1 ^A	1,2	0,1	2,2	1,3	1,1 ^s	2,3
Reference [5] Reference [22] Reference [59] Reference [60] Reference [52] S ₂	5.7769 5.793 5.785 5.778 5.7712* 5.7769	13.8050 14.081 13.871 13.63 13.7904* 13.8050	 19·483 19·4838 19·4833	21·2143 21·300 21·1580* 21·2145	25·8699 27·545 26·420 25·26 25·8980 25·8697	27·5537 27·662 ^s 27·5545 27·5536	32·4915 35·050 32·930 31·08 32·4340* 32·4916

Normalized frequencies $\Omega_{mn} = \omega a^2 (\rho/Eh^2)^{1/2}$ of an isotropic square plate

TABLE 5

Normalized frequencies of first eight modes for isotropic plates

		$arOmega_1$	Ω_2	Ω_3	Ω_4	Ω_5	$arOmega_6$	Ω_7	$arOmega_8$
a/b I	Reference	ce		Fe	or rectang	ular plate	s, $a/h = 2$	20	
2/5	[14]	11.448	16.186	24.081	35.135	41.057	45.795	49·384	53·691
	[20]	11.448	16.186	24.082	35.147	41.056	45.795	51.357	53.691
	\mathbf{S}_{1}	11.436	16.175	24·074	35.122	41·038	45.774	49.330	53.669
	\mathbf{S}_2^-	11.391	16.071	23.829	34.604	40.334	44·899	48.311	52.467
2/3	$[1\bar{4}]$	14.256	27.415	43.864	49.348	57·024	78.956	80.054	93·213
	[20]	14.256	27.415	43.864	49.350	57.024	78.958	80.089	93·218
	\mathbf{S}_1	14·244	27.402	43.845	49.334	56.999	78.923	80.015	93·173
	\mathbf{S}_2	14.167	27.089	43·041	48·310	55.647	76.362	77.389	89.636
1	$[1\bar{4}]$	19.739	49.348	49.348	78·956	98.696	98.696	128.305	128.305
	[16]	19.74	49.35	49.35	79.03	99.25	99.25		
	[19]	19.739	49.365	49.365	78.979	98·973	98·973	128.534	128.534
	[20]	19.739	49.348	49.348	78.956	98.701	98·701	128.309	128.309
	[29]	19.74	49.36	49.38	78.98	98.80	99·28	128.410	128.790
	\mathbf{S}_{1}	19.731	49.331	49.331	78.923	98·653	98·653	128.231	128.231
	S_2	19.569	48.310	48.310	76.362	94.702	94.702	121.703	121.703
a/h				Fe	or square	plates			
10	[26]	19.064	45·489	45.489	69·816	85·147			
	[27]	19.058	45.448	45.448	69·717	84.926	84.926		
	\mathbf{S}_{3}	17.468	40.099	40.099	60.901	74·090	74.090	93.060	93.060
100	[27]	19.732	49.303	49.303	78.841	98·512	98·512		
	\mathbf{S}_{3}	19.701	49.115	49.115	78.365	97.778	97.778	126.770	126.770

3.3. CROSS-PLY PLATES

Thickness effect: For the varying length-thickness ratios, fundamental natural frequencies of antisymmetric and symmetric cross-ply graphite fiber reinforced laminates are presented in Table 6. Srinivas *et al.* [5] analyzed the problem in an

	Reference	a/h = 2	5	10	20	25	50	100
[0/90]	[5]	4.935	8.518	10.333	11.036	11.131	11.263	11.297
	[32]	5.699	9.010	10.449	10.968	11.037	11.132	11.156
	Ī42Ī	4.810	8.388	10.270	11.016	11.118	11.230	11.296
	Ī36Ī		8.702	10.415	11.060		11.202	11.208
	Ī44Ī		9.807	10.568	11.105		11.275	11.300
	Ī41]	5.718	9.092	10.576	11.114	11.186	11.293	11.311
	Ī43Ī	4.939	8.521	10.335	11.036	11.132	11.263	11.297
	$\begin{bmatrix} S_2 \end{bmatrix}$	4.953	8.527	10.335	11.037	11.132	11.262	11.296
	S_3^2	4.730	7.567	8.834	9.527	9.708	10.224	10.800
[0/90]	[32]	5.576	10.989	15.270	17.668	18.050	18.606	18·755
_ , _5	í [42]	5.923	10.673	15.066	17.535	18.054	18.670	18.835
	Ī44Ī		10.263	14.702	17.483		18.641	18.828
	Ī41Ī	6.002	11.772	15.945	18.000	18.308	18.745	18.860
	$\mathbf{\bar{S}}_{2}$	5.164	10.232	14.696	17.481	17.948	18.640	18.825
	S_3^2	5.238	9.866	12.790	14.355	14.730	16.054	17.562

Fundamental frequencies $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/E_2 h^2)^{1/2}$ for cross-ply very thick, moderately thick, and thin square plates

 $E_1/E_2 = 40, \ E_3/E_2 = 1, \ G_{12}/E_2 = 0.6, \ G_{13} = G_{12}, \ G_{23}/E_2 = 0.5, \ v_{12} = v_{13} = 0.25, \ v_{23}^p = 0.646.$

exact elasticity solution. The higher order displacement field hypothesis was employed by Reddy and Phan [32] in vibration studies of isotropic, orthotropic and laminated plates. An individual-layer HSDT was used by Cho *et al.* [42]. Kant and Mallikarjuna [36] developed a higher order theory with C° finite element formulation. Shiau and Wu [41] used a high precision higher order triangular element. Nosier *et al.* [43] employed a layerwise theory. Hamilton's principle was used by Hadian and Nayfeh [44] in a third order shear-deformation plate theory. The lowest frequencies are obtained from the S₃ solution in the present study.

Moderately thick orthotropic plate: Aragonite square plates of moderate thickness a/h = 10 were studied by Srinivas and Rao [6] in an exact solution. The analyses of Reddy [31], Fan and Ye [57], Cho *et al.* [42], and Tessler *et al.* [49] are also listed along with the present S₂ method in Table 7. In Reference [49], pre-assumed shear correction coefficients $\kappa_{z0} = 0.907$, $\kappa_{z1} = 0.816$ caused a few slightly lower frequencies as indicated by an *. Via the present S₂ approach, the normalized frequencies of various modes are all in good agreement with the exact analysis.

Effect of orthotropy-moderately thick to thin: The fundamental natural frequencies of free vibration $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/E_2h^2)^{1/2}$ of antisymmetric cross-ply graphite/epoxy thick and thin laminated plates are presented in Table 8. Owen and Li [24] performed a refined transverse vibration and buckling analysis using a finite element displacement method. Ochoa and Reddy [39] also analyzed this topic by finite element methods. Argyris *et al.* [45] used a three-node triangular element in

TABLE	7
IADLL	

Natural frequencies of a simply supported aragonite plate, $\Omega = \omega h(\rho/C_{11})^{1/2}$

Referen	ce <i>m</i> , <i>n</i>	I-A	I-S	II-S	II-A	III-A	III-S	IV-S	V-S
[6] [31] [57] [42] [49] S ₂	1, 1	0.04742 0.04740 0.04751 0.0474 0.0474 0.0474	0·21697 0·21700 0·2170 0·2170 0·2170 0·21697	0·39405 0·39405 0·3941 0·3941 0·39405	1·3077 1·3086 	1.6530 1.6550 1.6536 1.6530 1.6530	2·2722 	2·5479 2·5479	3·2636 — — — 3·2636
[6] [31] [42] S ₂	2, 1	0·11880 0·11897 0·1188 0·11880	0·35150 	0.67278 0.6728 0.67278	1·4205 1·4216 1·4208 1·4205	1.6805 1.6827 1.6812 1.6805	2·2537 2·2537	2·6264 2·6264	3·2760 3·2760
[6] [31] [42] S ₂	2, 2	0·16942 0·16950 0·1694 0·16942	0·43382 0·4338 0·43382	0·78796 0·7880 0·78795	1·4316 1·4323 1·4319 1·4316	1.7509 1.7562 1.7523 1.7509	2·2455 2·2455	2·6334 2·6334	3·3179 3·3178
[6] [31] [42] [49] S ₂	3, 3	0·33200 0·33260 0·3319 0·3309* 0·33200	0.65043 0.6505 0.6503* 0.65043	1·1814 1·1815 1·1813* 1·1814	1·5737 1·5744 1·5741 1·5737 1·5737	1·9289 1·9395 1·9221 1·9296 1·9289	2·2274 2·2918 2·2273	2·7457 2·7457	3·4085

TABLE 8

Effect of orthotropy on the fundamental frequencies of antisymmetric cross-ply square plates

		2 la	yers	4 la	yers	10 layers		
E_1/E_2	Reference	a/h = 10	100	10	100	10	100	
10	[24]	7·8699	8·1477	9·5385	10·0934	9·9648	10·5744	
	[45]	7·7644	8·1090	*9·3764	10·0490	9·8664	10·5293	
	S ₂	7·7343	8·0815	9·3888	10·0108	9·8409	10·4852	
40	[24]	10.5001	11·3202	14·7357	17·3038	15·8024	18.6394	
	[39]	10.6100	11·5380	14·8830	17·4930	15·7930	18.8210	
	[45]	10.3619	11·2890	*14·3459	17·2632	15·6800	18.6014	
	S ₂	10.3129	11·2580	14·4778	17·2255	15·6563	18.5207	

 $E_3/E_2 = 1$, $G_{12}/E_2 = 0.6$, $G_{13} = G_{12}$, $G_{23}/E_2 = 0.5$, $v_{12} = v_{13} = 0.25$, v_{23}^p calculated as per reference [61]

non-linear free vibration with a 0.1-1% lower frequencies for four-layered moderately thick laminated plates of a/h = 10.

Effect of orthotropy-thick laminates: The effects of number of layers and degree of orthotropy of the individual layer on the normalized fundamental frequencies are

Effect of orthotropy on the fundamental frequencies $\Omega = \omega h(\rho/E_2)^{1/2}$ of antisymmetric and symmetric cross-ply square plates $[0/90\cdots]$

E_{1}/E_{2}	3	10	20	40		3	10	20	40
Reference	ce		2 layers		Referenc	e	3 la	yers	
E53T	0.25031	0.27938	0.30698	0.34250	[53]	0.26474	0.32841	0.38241	0.43006
[33]	0.24868	0.27955	0.31284	0.36348	[33]	0.26278	0.33192	0.38268	0.43415
[24]	0.25601	0.28712	0.31558	0.35182	[24]	0.26948	0.33917	0.38979	0.43951
<u>آ</u> 37	0.24868	0.27955	0.31284	0.36348	[34]	0.26223	0.32692	0.36923	0.40878
Ī35Ī	0.24128	0.27769	0.30525	0.34072	Ī35Ī	0.25560	0.32586	0.36898	0.40923
[54]	0.24929	0.27821	0.30563	0.34076	[55]	0.26461	0.32451	0.37717	0.42558
[56]	0.25032	0.27939	0.30862	0.34757	[56]	0.26280	0.32675	0.37031	0.41044
[38]	0.24931	0.27822	0.30566	0.34114	[40]	0.26126	0.32528	0.37253	0.41520
[40]	0.24782	0.27764	0.30737	0.34810	[48]	0.26357	0.33342	0.38457	0.43510
[48]	0.25174	0.28129	0.31011	0.34860	[46]	0.264	0.339	0.393	0.447
[46]	0.248	0.282	0.317	0.369	[58]				0.42666
[47]	0.24934	0.27897	0.30586	0.34909	[30]		0.33117	0.38150	0.43247
[58]				0.33758	S_2	0.26225	0.32689	0.36888	0.40965
S_2	0.24842	0.27548	0.30424	0.34096	S_3	0.22910	0.28510	0.32926	0.37558
S_3	0.20003	0.23574	0.26796	0.30968			9 lay	ers	
		10	layers		[53]	0.26640	0.34432	0.40547	0.46679
[53]	0.26583	0.34250	0.40337	0.46498	[33]	0.26384	0.34169	0.40334	0.46580
[24]	0.26916	0.34527	0.40526	0.46590	[24]	0.26971	0.34708	0.40746	0.46803
[37]	0.26337	0.34050	0.40270	0.46692	[34]	0.26375	0.34079	0.40138	0.46260
[35]	0.26308	0.33917	0.39969	0.46120	[35]	0.26356	0.34013	0.39995	0.46009
[40]	0.26331	0.33989	0.40069	0.46295	[40]	0.26298	0.34035	0.40107	0.46222
[48]	0.26329	0.33974	0.40075	0.46285	[48]	0.26390	0.34169	0.40310	0.46510
[46]	0.264	0.344	0.408	0.472	[46]	0.264	0.347	0.410	0.474
S_2	0.26402	0.33982	0.40027	0.46103	[30]		0.34098	0.40217	0.46397
S ₃	0.20737	0.27258	0.33030	0.39700	S_2	0.26456	0.34149	0.40113	0.46082
$(v_{23}^{\bar{p}})$	0.55575	0.62409	0.63873	0.64606)	S_3^-	0.24700	0.31416	0.36988	0.43122

 $E_3/E_2 = 1$, $G_{12}/E_2 = 0.6$, $G_{13} = G_{12}$, $G_{23}/E_2 = 0.5$, $v_{12} = v_{13} = 0.25$, v_{23}^p calculated as per reference [61].

compared with those in the literature in Table 9. Thick square plates of a/h = 5 multi-layered antisymmetric and symmetric cross-ply were analyzed with the material properties typical of high performance fibrous composites. The ratios of moduli E_1/E_2 varied from 3 to 40, number of layers between 2 and 10, and the transverse the Poisson ratio v_{23}^p is calculated as per reference [61].

A brief review is made on the literature as follows. Noor [53] solved the free vibration problem using the 3-D elasticity theory with higher order finite difference schemes. Putcha and Reddy [33] used the mixed element based on a refined plate theory to analyze anisotropic plates. Owen and Li [24] studied vibration and stability of laminated plates by the finite element displacement method. Khdeir and Librescu [34] applied the higher order plate theory to analyze cross-ply laminated plates. Ren and Owen [35] studied the vibration and buckling problem based on

Hamilton's principle. Analytical and finite element solutions of the classical, first order, and third order laminate theories were developed by Reddy and Khdeir [37] to study the buckling and free-vibration behavior of laminates. Jing and Liao [54], and Tseng and Chou [38] developed a partial hybrid element for the vibration of thick laminated composite plates. Rao and Meyer-Piening [55] used a hybrid-stress finite element to perform the vibration analysis of FRP faced sandwich plates. Chen and Jiang [56] developed a three-dimensional mixed finite element method for the dynamic failure analysis. Refined theories of fiberreinforced laminated composites and sandwiches were discussed by Mallikarjuna and Kant [40]. Wang and Lin [47] published a finite strip method based on the higher order plate theory for determining the natural frequencies of laminated plates. He and Ma [48] used a refined shear deformation theory to study the vibration of laminated plates. Ghosh and Dey [46] analyzed this using a simple finite element based on higher order theory. Kong and Cheung [58] discussed a finite layer method on free vibration. Bert and Malik [30] analyzed laminated composite structures using the differential quadrature numerical method based on the first order shear deformation theory with a shear correction factor $\pi^2/12$. For a thick plate of up to a/h = 5, it is unlikely for the transverse displacement to vary through thickness as regular plates. A single term to the zeroth order of $W_{imn}z^{j}$ is preferred for the displacement field of w. On the other hand, it is more likely to deform in the manner of in-plane shear of S_3 rather than bending extension-compression of the S_2 displacement model. In Table 9, lower frequencies are also shown for the S_3 displacement approach of the present theory.

Cross-ply of various composites: As for a solution method by using Fourier series, Leissa and Narita [15] performed a vibration study for symmetric cross-ply laminated plates based on the Ritz method. Taking the length-thickness ratio 50 and number of layers from 1 to 15 plies (1L-15L) for composites of E-glass/Ep, Boron/Ep, and Graphite/Ep, the present S₁ theoretical predictions compare well with Leissa's thin plate solution. The first few frequencies of the symmetric cross-ply square plates are presented in Table 10 as the lowest for all halfwave numbers.

3.4. ANGLE-PLY PLATES

Effect of thickness and aspect ratio: To demonstrate the effects of thickness on the natural frequencies of the angle-ply laminated plates, the present S_2 , and S_3 solutions are compared to those of Bowlus *et al.* [25]. The first and fifth mode frequencies of the $[\pm 45]_S$ square plates are shown in Table 11 with fixed m, n = 6 and the length to thickness ratios a/h varying from 5 to 50. For the angle-ply laminations, much lower frequencies are provided by the displacement model in the S_3 edge condition, especially for the case of higher modes.

To examine the combined effects of thicknesses and aspect ratios, the first mode frequencies of the present theory is listed in Table 12 for the symmetric four-layer angle-ply rectangular graphite/epoxy plates in comparison with Akhras *et al.* [28], in which a shear-deformable finite strip was developed in the static and vibration analyses of composite laminates based on FSDT with shear correction factor $\frac{5}{6}$.

Normalized frequencies of first few modes for cross-ply laminated square thin plates, $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/D_{\circ})^{1/2}$

E-glass/E	ep:	$\Omega_{1,1}$	$\Omega_{1,2}$	$\Omega_{2,1}$	$\Omega_{2,2}$	$\Omega_{1,2}$	$\Omega_{2,3}$	$\Omega_{2,1}$	$\Omega_{3,2}$
8	I.	1,1	1,2	2,1	2,2	1, 5	2,3	5,1	3,2
[15]	1L	15.193	33.296	44.416	60.770	64.525	90.289	93.661	109.07
	5L	15.193	35.894	42.344	60.770	71.569	94.504	88·395	105.44
	15L	15.193	38.138	40.334	60·770	77.514	98·240	83·232	101.97
S_1	1L	14·861	32.543	43.329	59·355	62.965	88.143	91.124	106.227
	5L	14.862	35.063	41.330	59·367	69.779	92·217	86.090	102.787
	15L	14.869	37.275	39.278	59.645	75.321	95.709	80.942	99.533
Boron/E	p:	$\varOmega_{1,1}$	$\Omega_{1,2}$	$\Omega_{1,3}$	$\varOmega_{2,1}$	$\varOmega_{2,2}$	$\varOmega_{2,3}$	$\varOmega_{3,1}$	$\Omega_{3,2}$
[15]	1L	11.039	17.364	30.905	40.371	44·157	53.269	89.663	92.701
[]	5L	11.039	24.037	49·281	36.790	44·157	63.520	81.425	86.002
	15L	11.039	28.866	61.571	33.138	44·157	71.705	72.136	79.306
S_1	1L	10.778	16.957	30.162	39·213	42.904	51.785	86.388	89·325
1	5L	10.782	23.445	47·915	35.793	42.969	61.724	78.343	83.170
	15L	10.803	28.156	59.723	32.259	42.931	69.590	69.882	76.854
Gr·/Ep:		$\varOmega_{1,1}$	$\varOmega_{1,2}$	$\varOmega_{1,3}$	$\varOmega_{2,1}$	$\varOmega_{2,2}$	$\varOmega_{1,4}$	$\varOmega_{2,3}$	$\Omega_{3,1}$
Г157	1L	11.290	17.132	28.692	40.740	45.159	45.783	54·082	90.055
	5L	11.290	24.035	48.362	37.089	45.159	83·230	64·470	81.205
	15L	11.290	28.990	61.156	33.359	45.159	106.740	72.766	72.063
S_1	1L	10.727	16.286	27.243	38.565	42.773	43.395	51·237	84·819
1	5L	10.729	22.794	45.698	35.058	42.712	78.267	60.888	76.221
	15L	10.729	27.563	57.738	31.677	42·818	100.098	68.607	67.799
F-glass/Fr	ר. E /I	E = 2.45	G/E –	0.48 G	E = 0.34	2 v = 0	$(.23 v^p -$	0.462 F	= E
Boron/En	. _{1/1}	$2_2 = 2.43$, 11	$0.12^{/12} - 0.34$	5 10, 0 ₂₃ /	$\frac{1}{0.346}$	$2, v_{12} = 0$	$1 \qquad 0$	444 G	$a_{3} = L_{2}$
(Gr/Ep):	-	15.4	0.79		0.299	0.30) 0.	675 v ₁	$v_{12} = v_{12}$

TABLE 11

Fundamental and fifth mode frequencies of $[\pm 45]_s$ square plates with varying thickness, $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/E_2 h^2)^{1/2}$

Reference <i>a</i> / <i>h</i>	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	50
$\begin{array}{cccc} [25] & \Omega_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ [25] & \Omega_5 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \end{array}$	9.57	12·77	13.84	14·28	14·51	14.63	14·71	14·75	14·87
	9.46	12·63	13.71	14·18	14·41	14.54	14·63	14·68	14·75
	9.13	12·06	13.10	13·58	13·85	14.02	14·14	14·23	14·36
	25.51	41·69	50.39	55·08	57·77	59.43	60·49	61·23	62·13
	22.11	34·71	43.63	55·05	57·71	59.35	60·41	61·14	62·03
	15.93	29·63	40.62	44·97	47·78	49.82	51·40	52·68	54·66

 $E_1/E_2 = 15, E_3/E_2 = 1, G_{12}/E_2 = 0.4286, G_{13} = G_{12}, G_{23}/E_2 = 0.3429, v_{12} = v_{13} = 0.4, v_{23}^p = 0.458.$

		Refere	ence [28]	(b/a)	$\mathbf{S_2}(b/a)$								
a/h	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5			
10 20 50 100	12·716 14·074 14·551 14·623	7·849 8·346 8·505 8·529	6·704 6·928 7·155 7·171	6·276 6·568 6·658 6·671	6.073 6.342 6.425 6.437	12·588 13·924 14·396 14·468	7·775 8·268 8·428 8·452	6.650 6.968 7.098 7.115	6·232 6·520 6·611 6·624	6.034 6.300 6.383 6.395			
	$\mathbf{S_1}~(b/a)$						$\mathbf{S_3}~(b/a)$						
10 20 50 100	12·594 13·927 14·396 14·468	7·778 8·269 8·428 8·451	6·652 6·967 7·098 7·114	6·233 6·521 6·611 6·624	6.035 6.300 6.383 6.395	12·160 13·472 14·145 14·382	6·836 7·624 8·216 8·391	5·499 6·172 6·837 7·040	4·908 5·571 6·296 6·532	4·588 5·257 6·024 6·286			

Fundamental frequencies $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/E_2 h^2)^{1/2}$ of $[\pm 45]_s$ rectangular plates with varying thickness and aspect ratios

 $E_1/E_2 = 14, \ E_3/E_2 = 1, \ G_{12}/E_2 = 0.533, \ G_{13} = G_{12}, \ G_{23}/E_2 = 0.323, \ v_{12} = v_{13} = 0.3, \ v_{23}^p = 0.521.$

TABLE 13

Fundamental frequencies $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/E_2 h^2)^{1/2}$ of $[\pm 45/\pm 45]$ rectangular plates with varying aspect and thickness ratios

<i>a/b</i> Referenc	0·2	0.6	$\frac{1\cdot 0}{a/h}$:	1.6 = 10	2.0	0.2	0.6	$\frac{1 \cdot 0}{a/h} = 20$	1·6 0	2.0	
[21]	8.66	12.82	18·46	27·95	34.87	9·30	14.45	21.87	35.56	46.26	
[22] [46]	8·72 4·93	12·97 12·65	18.01 18.06	27.74 27.18	34·25 31·28	9·48 9·52	14·90 14·72	22·58 22·19	36·25 35·89	46·79 46·45	
[52]	8·55	12.56	17·79	26·99	33·55 28.01	9·30	14·39	21.68	35·04	45·41	
$S_2 S_3$	8·38 5·22	8.36	13·64 13·65	19·65	28.01 24.81	9·37 5·94	9·41	15.60	23·02	42·02 29·57	
			a/h = 3	0		a/h = 50					
[21]	9.44	14.84	22.74	37.82	49.98	9.51	15.04	23.24	39.17	52.29	
[22] [46]	9·67 9·72	15.39 15.22	23.68 23.28	38·94 38·59	51·13 50·89	9·82 9·84	15·69 15·50	24.34 23.91	40.65 40.24	53.99 53.68	
[52]	9.49	14.84	22·69	37·59	49·55	9.62	15.12	23.30	39·19	52·25	
S_3	6.28	10.22	16.67	24.61	31.64	7.66	11.77	18.64	27.29	34.78	

 $E_1/E_2 = 40, \ E_3/E_2 = 1, \ G_{12}/E_2 = 0.6, \ G_{13} = G_{12}, \ G_{23}/E_2 = 0.5, \ v_{12} = v_{13} = v_{23} = 0.25.$

Combined effects of aspect and thickness ratios: To show the effects of aspect and thickness ratios, vibration of the $[\pm 45 \pm 45]$ skewsymmetric angle-ply laminated rectangular plates is treaded with the S₃ sliding pin supported boundary condition. Results of S₃ hinge-roller support displacement field are also listed for further comparison. Fundamental frequencies are compared in Table 13, with varying

a/h, a/b ratios, among many authors. Bert and Chen [21] provided a closed-form solution to the problem by way of the classical thin plate theory with shear deformation taken into account. Ghosh and Dey [46] employed a simple finite element based on higher order theory to analyze free vibration of the laminated plates. Shankara and Iyengar [52] applied a C° finite element model based on HSDT to the free vibration of composite plates. Cross references are made to Reddy [22], who used an FSDT quarter and half plate finite element. Remarkably, lower frequencies are always obtained in the present study except for the case of Ghosh and Dey [46] at a/b = 0.2 and a/h = 10 in Table 13, of which the frequency was even lower.

Fiber orientation: To examine the effect of fiber orientation, the first, third, and fifth mode natural frequencies of symmetrical four-layer angle-ply laminated thin square plates are presented in Table 14 with three materials: E-glass/epoxy, boron/epoxy, and graphite/epoxy. The present S_3 theoretical results compare well with all varied ply angles in Leissa and Narita [15], and Chow *et al.* [17], in which the transverse vibration problems were studied by the Rayleigh-Ritz method.

Quasi-isotropic hybrid: The effects of thickness ratio and fiber orientation on fundamental frequencies are presented in Table 15. The present results compare well with the three-dimensional elasticity solution of Noor and Burton [7] for 10-layered angle-ply and 16-layered quasi-isotropic hybrid laminates. Fiber orientation for the quasi-isotropic hybrid laminates is $[45/-45/0/90]_2$. The top four and bottom four layers are made of graphite-epoxy material, and the middle eight layers are made of glass-epoxy material. (*values are tabulated as $\Omega \times 100$ for h/a = 0.01)

3.5. GENERAL LAMINATION

In general lamination schemes, the fundamental natural frequencies of laminated plates are shown in Table 16 for varied thicknesses ratios. Lower frequencies are

TABLE 14

Effect of fiber orientation on first few mode frequencies of symmetrical angle-ply thin square plates with a/h = 50, $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/D_{\odot})^{1/2}$

$[\pm \theta]_{s}$ Reference		e E	E-glass/Ep			Boron/Ep			Gr/Ep		
θ	-	\varOmega_1	Ω_3	\varOmega_5	Ω_1	Ω_3	\varOmega_5	$arOmega_1$	Ω_3	\varOmega_5	
0°	[15]	15.19	44·42	64·53	11.04	30.91	44.16	11.29	28.69	45.16	
	[17]	15.19	44.52	64·55	11.04	30.92	44·18	11.30	28.70	45.18	
	\mathbf{S}_{3}	14.18	38.37	57.59	9.55	25.81	31.92	10.06	23.89	33.58	
30°	[15]	16.02	42.62	71.68	12.83	36.62	52·13	12.66	36.67	51.84	
	[17]	15.94	42.52	71.45	12.78	36.36	51.59	12.56	36.40	51.23	
	\mathbf{S}_{3}	15.22	40.18	66·71	12.42	33.63	46.40	12.42	34·25	47.33	
45°	[15]	16.29	41.63	77.56	13.46	34.94	57.59	13.17	34.76	57.61	
	[17]	16.17	41.52	77.33	13.39	34.55	56.84	13.12	34.36	56.85	
	\mathbf{S}_{3}	15.49	40.16	71.00	13.13	33.59	49.98	13.05	33.82	50.96	

Effect of thickness ratio and fiber orientation on fundamental frequencies of angle-ply and quasi-isotropic hybrid square laminates, $\Omega = \omega h (\rho/E_{\circ})^{1/2}$

	θ	h/a	0.01*	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.30
P_1	0°	S ₃	0.1082	0.0233	0.0453	0.1760	0.2855	0.4068	0.5359
1	15°	[7]	0.1328	0.0320	0.1162	0.2304	0.3588	0.4934	0.6307
		$\overline{S_3}$	0.1112	0.0235	0.0842	0.1743	0.2850	0.4097	0.5429
	30°	[7]	0.1510	0.0362	0.1296	0.2532	0.3889	0.5286	0.6692
		$\overline{S_3}$	0.1274	0.0260	0.0928	0.1915	0.3128	0.4489	0.5930
	45°	[7]	0.1595	0.0381	0.1351	0.2617	0.3993	0.5400	0.6810
		S_3	0.1409	0.0285	0.1014	0.2082	0.3379	0.4810	0.6220
P_{2}		[7]	0.1354	0.0329	0.1217	0.2463	0.3893	0.5405	0.6946
2		S_3	0.1229	0.0240	0.0872	0.1824	0.3029	0.4438	0.5965
Properties	E_L	$E_0 = E_T$	$E_0 G_{LT}/I$	$E_0 G_{TT}/E$	v_{LT}	v_{TT}	$ ho/ ho_0$ St	acking	
P_{1} :	15	1	0.50	0.35	0.30	0.49	1·0 Γ <i>θ</i>	$/ - \theta / \cdots$]10
P_2 : Gr/E	p 11	·49 1·	14 0.56	0.28	0.38	0.49	$0.846 \overline{\Theta}$	45/-45/	0/90
Gl/E	p 4	·46 1	0.56	6 0.395	0.415	0.49	1.0 [6	$\mathcal{O}_{Gr}/\mathcal{O}_{Gl}/\mathcal{O}_{Gl}$	Θ_{Gl}/Θ_{Gr}]

TABLE 16

Fundamental frequencies $\Omega = \omega a^2 (\rho/E_2 h^2)^{1/2}$ for square plates with different lamination schemes

]	Reference [5	1]	S ₃			
a/h	4	10	100	4	10	100	
[0]	7·739	12·465	15·193	6·827	9·520	13·166	
[0/30/0]	7·573	12·380	15·353	6·896	10·009	14·254	
[0/45/0]	7·413	12·213	15·400	6·821	9·917	14·362	
[0/60/0]	7·258	12·005	15·340	6·633	9·611	14·075	
[0/90/0]	7·123	11·758	15·177	6·342	9·102	13·436	
$\begin{array}{c} [0/90] \\ [0/90]_2 \\ [0/ \pm 30/0] \\ [0/ \pm 45/0] \\ [0/ \pm 60/0] \end{array}$	6·809	8·951	9.690	5·565	6·948	9·423	
	7·557	11·845	14.025	5·912	8·040	11·423	
	7·606	12·447	15.401	6·511	8·951	11·659	
	7·411	12·272	15.441	6·397	8·865	11·894	
	7·197	11·930	15.251	6·174	8·608	11·727	

obtained in all cases in the present theory of S_3 displacement models as compared to Maiti and Sinha [51], in which HSDT with a third order, six degrees of freedom per node finite element was employed.

4. CONCLUSION

1. In the treatment of free vibration of composite laminated thick and thin plates, a complete survey of the literature and comparisons of natural

frequencies have been performed according to the present three-dimensional theory. Lowest frequencies are obtained with few exceptions via a three-dimensional augmented energy variational approach leading to the natural state.

- 2. Unlike the traditional theories of laminated plates and shells, the present three-dimensional semi-analytical solutions are based on the theory of elasticity. The three-dimensional boundary conditions and interlaminar continuity of layer displacements and transverse stresses are satisfied by use of the assumed admissible displacement fields and Lagrange's multipliers.
- 3. Systematic three-dimensional displacement functions have been developed for a variety of edge boundary conditions such as the S_1 fixed pin, S_2 hinge-roller, and S_3 sliding pin supported displacement fields, in keeping with physical reality and mathematical requirements.
- 4. Judging from the lowest natural frequencies, it is noted that the S_2 -type displacement functions are most suitable for use with the cross-ply laminates in bending extension-compression, and S_3 -type displacement functions for angle-plies in in-plane shear, due to ease of normal and tangential movements along the edges respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Council of Republic of China through grant NSC86-2212-E-007-007.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. E. H. LOVE 1927 *Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*. New York: Dover, fourth edition, 1944 re-issue.
- 2. S. TIMOSHENKO 1928 Vibration Problem in Engineering. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, first edition.
- 3. E. REISSNER 1945 ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics 12, A69–A77. The effect of transverse shear deformation on the bending of elastic plates.
- 4. R. D. MINDLIN and M. A. MEDICK 1959 ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics 26, 561–569. Extensional vibrations of elastic plates.
- 5. S. SRINIVAS, C. V. JOGA RAO and A. K. RAO 1970 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 12, 187–199. An exact analysis for vibration of simply-supported homogeneous and laminated thick rectangular plates.
- 6. S. SRINIVAS and A. K. RAO 1970 International Journal of Solids and Structures 6, 1463–1481. Bending, vibration and buckling of simply supported thick orthotropic rectangular plates and laminates.
- 7. A. K. NOOR and W. S. BURTON 1990 ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics 57, 182–188. Three-dimensional solutions for antisymmetrically laminated anisotropic plates.
- 8. A. K. NOOR and W. S. BURTON 1990 *Composite Structures* 14, 233–265. Assessment of computational models for multilayered anisotropic plates.
- 9. A. K. NOOR, W. S. BURTON and C. W. BERT 1996 ASME Journal of Applied Mechanical Reviews 49, 155–199. Computational models for sandwich panels and shell.
- 10. C. C. CHAO and T. P. TUNG 1992 Localized Damage '92, 327-346. A three-dimensional consistent higher-order laminated shell theory and impact damage prediction. Southampton, U.K: Computational Mechanics Publishers.

- 11. C. C. CHAO, T. P. TUNG, C. C. SHEU and J. H. TSENG 1994 ASME Journal of Vibration and Acoustics 116, 371–378. A consistent higher-order theory of laminated plates with nonlinear impact modal analysis.
- 12. C. C. CHAO, T. P. TUNG and H. H. LI 1994 ASME Journal of Energy Resources Technology 116, 240-249. 3-D stress analysis of cross-ply laminates.
- 13. C. C. CHAO and T. P. TUNG 1995 *Composites Engineering* 5, 297–312. Three dimensional consistent higher-order analysis on shock response of cross-ply curved panels.
- 14. A. W. LEISSA 1973 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **31**, 257–293. The free vibration of rectangular plates.
- 15. A. W. LEISSA and Y. NARITA 1989 *Composite Structures* **12**, 113–132. Vibration studies for simply supported symmetrically laminated rectangular plates.
- 16. K. M. LIEW, K. Y. LAM and S. T. CHOW 1990 Computers and Structures 34, 79–85. Free vibration analysis of rectangular plates using orthogonal plate function.
- 17. S. T. CHOW, K. M. LIEW and K. L. LAM 1992 Composite Structures 20, 213–362. Transverse vibration of symmetrically laminated rectangular composite plate.
- J. FARSA, A. R. KUKRETI and C. W. BERT 1993 International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 36, 2341–2356. Fundamental frequency analysis of laminated rectangular plates by differential quadrature method.
- 19. D. ZHOU 1994 *Computers and Structures* **52**, 199–203. The application of a type of new admissible function to the vibration of rectangular plates.
- 20. G. N. GEANNAKAKES 1995 Journal of Sound and Vibration 182, 441–478. Natural frequencies of arbitrary shaped plates using the Rayleigh-Ritz method together with natural co-ordinate regions and normalized characteristic orthogonal polynomials.
- C. W. BERT and T. L. C. CHEN 1978 International Journal of Solids and Structures 14, 465–473. Effect of shear deformation on vibration of antisymmetric angle-ply laminated rectangular plates.
- 22. J. N. REDDY 1979 Journal of Sound and Vibration 66, 565–576. Free vibration of anisotropic, angle-ply laminated plates including transverse shear deformation by the finite element method.
- 23. K. CHANDRASHEKHARA 1989 Computers and Structures 33, 435–440. Free vibrations of anisotropic laminated doubly curved shell.
- 24. D. R. J. OWEN and Z. H. LI 1987 *Computers and Structures* 26, 915–923. A refined analysis of laminated plates by finite element displacement methods-II. vibration and stability.
- 25. J. A. BOWLUS, A. N. PALAZOTTO and J. M. WHITNEY 1987 *AIAA Journal* **25**, 1500–1511. Vibration of symmetrically laminated rectangular plates considering deformation and rotatory inertia.
- 26. A. T. CHEN and T.-Y. YANG 1988 *Journal of Composite Materials* **22**, 341–359. A 36 DOF symmetrically laminated triangular element with shear deformation and rotatory inertia.
- 27. T. MIZUSAWA 1993 Journal of Sound and Vibration 163, 193–205. Vibration of rectangular Mindlin plates by the spline strip method.
- G. AKHRAS, M. S. CHEUNG and W. LI 1993 International Journal of Solids and Structures 30, 3129–3137. Static and vibration analysis of anisotropic composites by finite strip method.
- 29. Y. K. CHEUNG and J. KONG 1995 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **181**, 341–353. The application of a new finite strip to the free vibration of rectangular plates of varying complexity.
- 30. C. W. BERT and M. MALIK 1997 *Composite Structures* **39**, 179–189. Differential quadrature: a power new technique for analysis of composite structures.
- 31. J. N. REDDY 1984 Energy and Variational Methods in Applied Mechanics. New York: Wiley.

- 32. J. N. REDDY and N. D. PHAN 1985 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **98**, 157–170. Stability and vibration of isotropic, orthotropic and laminated plates according to a higher-order shear deformation theory.
- 33. N. S. PUTCHA and J. N. REDDY 1986 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **104**, 285–300. Stability and natural vibration analysis of laminated by using a mixed element based on a refined plate theory.
- 34. A. A. KHDEIR and L. LIBRESCU 1988 Composite Structures 9, 259–277. Analysis of symmetric cross-ply laminated elastic plates using a higher-order theory: Part II-buckling and free vibration.
- 35. J. G. REN and J. G. OWEN 1989 *International Journal of Solids and Structures* **25**, 95–106. Vibration and buckling of laminated plates.
- 36. T. KANT and MALLIKARJUNA 1989 Journal of Sound and Vibration 134, 1–16. Vibrations of unsymmetrically laminated plates analyzed by using a higher order theory with a C° finite element formulation.
- 37. J. N. REDDY and A. A. KHDEIR 1989 AIAA Journal 27, 1808–1817. Buckling and vibration of laminated composite plates using various plate theories.
- 38. Y.-P. TSENG and L.-C. CHOU 1993 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 164, 251–266. A partial hybrid plate element formulation for free vibrations of laminated plates.
- 39. O. O. OCHOA and J. N. REDDY 1992 Finite Element Analysis of Composite Laminates. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisheres.
- 40. MALLIKARJUNA and T. KANT 1993 *Composite Structures* 23, 293–312. A critical review and some results of recently developed refined theories of fiber-reinforced laminated composites and sandwiches.
- 41. L.-C. SHIAU and T.-Y. WU 1993 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 161, 265–279. A high precision higher order triangular element for free vibration of general laminated plates.
- 42. K. N. CHO, C. W. BERT and A. G. STRIZ 1991 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 145, 429–442. Free vibration of rectangular plates analysed by higher order individual-layered theory.
- 43. A. NOSIER, R. K. KAPANIA and J. N. REDDY 1993 *AIAA Journal* **31**, 2335–2346. Free vibration analysis of laminated plates using a layerwise theory.
- 44. J. HADIAN and A. H. NAYFEH 1993 Computers and Structures 48, 677–693. Free vibration and buckling of shear-deformable cross-ply laminated plates using the state-space concept.
- 45. J. ARGYRIS, L. TENEK and L. OLOFSSON 1994 Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 115, 1–51. Nonlinear free vibration of composite plates.
- 46. A. K. GHOSH and S. S. DEY 1994 *Computers and Structures* **52**, 397–404. Free vibration of laminated composite plates-a simple finite element based on higher-order theory.
- 47. W.-J. WANG and K. LIN 1994 *Computers and Structures* **53**, 1281–1289. Free vibration of laminated plates using a finite strip method based on a higher-order plate theory.
- 48. J.-F. HE and B.-A. MA 1994 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 175, 577–591. Vibration analysis of laminated plates using a refined shear deformation theory.
- 49. A. TESSLER, E. SAETHER and T. TSUI 1995 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **179**, 475–498. Vibration of thick laminated composite plates.
- 50. R. TENNETI and K. CHANDRASHEKHARA 1994 Journal of Sound and Vibration 176, 279–285. Large amplitude flexural vibration of laminated plates using a higher order shear deformation theory.
- 51. D. K. MAITI and P. K. SINHA 1996 *Computers and Structures* **59**, 115–129. Bending, free vibration and impact response of thick laminated composite plates.
- 52. C. A. SHANKARA and N. G. R. IYENGAR 1996 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 191, 721–738. A C° element for the free vibration analysis of laminated composite plates.
- 53. A. K. NOOR 1973 AIAA Journal 11, 1038–1039. Free vibrations of multilayered composite plates.
- 54. H.-S. JING and M.-L. LIAO 1990 Computers and Structures 36, 57-64. Partial hybrid stress element for vibrations of thick laminated composite plates.

- 55. K. M. RAO and H.-R. MEYER-PIENING 1991 Computers and Structures 41, 177–188. Vibration analysis of FRP faced sandwich plates using hybrid-stress finite element method.
- 56. W.-H. CHEN and W.-W. JIANG 1991 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 146, 479–490. Resonant failure analysis of general composite laminates by using a mixed finite element method.
- 57. J. FAN and J. YE 1990 International Journal of Solids Structures 26, 655–662. An exact solution for the statics and dynamics of laminated thick plates with orthotropic layers.
- 58. J. KONG and Y. K. CHEUNG 1995 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **184**, 639–649. Vibration of shear-deformable plates with intermediate line supports: a finite layer approach.
- 59. K. H. HUANG and A. DASGUPTA 1995 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **186**, 207–222. A layer-wise analysis for free vibration of thick composite cylindrical shells.
- 60. C. MEIMARIS and J. D. DAY 1995 Computers and Structures 55, 269-278. Dynamic response of laminated anisotropic plates.
- 61. T. P. PHILIPPIDIS 1994 Journal of Composite Materials 28, 252–261. The transverse poisson's ratio in fiber reinforced laminae by means of a hybrid experimental approach.
- 62. C. C. CHAO and C. Y. TU 1999 *Composites: Part B Engineering* **30B**, 9–22. Threedimensional contact dynamics of laminated plates: Part 1. Normal Impact.
- 63. C. Y. TU and C. C. CHAO 1999 *Composites: Part B Engineering* **30B**, 23–41. Threedimensional contact dynamics of laminated plates: Part 2. Oblique Impact with friction.